2022 ASABE AIM | Houston, TX | July 17-20, 2022

Potential of Variable-Rate Seeding for Site-Specific Plant Growth Management in Cotton

Simerjeet Virk

Assistant Professor & Extension Precision Ag Specialist

University of Georgia





BACKGROUND

Rank growth in Cotton – tall and excessive vegetative growth

- plants more susceptible to boll rot and late season insects
- delays maturity and makes it more difficult to defoliate

□ Plant Growth Regulator (PGR)

- used to manage vegetative growth
- proper rate and timing is important
- uniform applications are most common
- few growers utilize "spot spray" method



Source: UF | IFAS Extension

INTRODUCTION

- **Challenge** Spatial variability within the fields in the Southeastern US (soil type, elevation, etc.)
 - Grower interest in better management strategies to address growth variability

• Seeding Rate/Plant Population - area between plants, competition, canopy coverage, sunlight penetration and yield



Aerial imagery showing differences in soil types across a field



In-season satellite imagery showing crop growth variability

Can seeding rate be adjusted appropriately in certain field areas (management zones) to reduce the potential of rank growth?

OBJECTIVE

Evaluate variable-rate seeding as one of the strategies for sitespecific plant growth management in cotton

Methods

Field 1:

- Colquitt County, GA
- 27.5 ha
- Irrigated

Management Zones:

- SoilType
- Crop Health
- Yield









Methods

Field 1:

- Colquitt County, GA
- 27.5 ha
- Irrigated

Management Zones:

- Soil Type
- Crop Health
- Yield



Field 2:

- Dougherty County, GA
- 12.1 ha
- Irrigated

Management Zones:

- Soil Type
- Crop Health
- Yield







Yield

Field 2:

- Dougherty County, GA
- 12.1 ha
- Irrigated

Management Zones:

- Soil Type
- Crop Health
- Yield



Methods

Study Layout:

- Zone 1 & 2
- Three Seeding Rates
 - 0 53.1 ksds/ha
 - 0 63.0 ksds/ha
 - o 72.9 ksds/ha) (Grower
 Nominal)
- Three Replications
- 9 Randomized Passes



12-row planter @91.4 cm spacing; planter = 10.9 m

Field 1: DP2012; Field 2: DP2038

Data Collection

(randomly selected locations in center 6 rows)

- Emergence (stand counts)
 0 3.0 m of row
- Plant Heights & Node Counts
 0 60 plants (in center 6 rows)
- Yield (12-rows)
 - Weighing each pass separately
 - Yield map

Data Analysis

- Two-way ANOVA using JMP Pro 15
- Means comparison using a $p \le 0.10$



Results

Field 1

Zone	Target Rate	Population	Emergence
	(ksds/ha)	(plants/ha)	(%)
1	53.1	50,230 c	95% a
1	63.0	59,531 b	94% a
1	72.9	69,099 a	95% a
2	53.1	47,107 c	89% a
2	63.0	49,632 c	79% b
2	72.9	55,879 b	77% b





Plant Height & Nodes



YIELD



Seeding Rate (ksds/ha)





Results - Emergence

Field 2

Zone	Target Rate	Population	Emergence
	(ksds/ha)	(plants/ha)	(%)
1	53.1	40,994 e	77% bc
1	63.0	48,170 c	76% c
1	72.9	55 <i>,</i> 612 b	76% c
2	53.1	44,317 d	83% a
2	63.0	53,951 b	85% a
2	72.9	59,598 a	82% ab



PLANT HEIGHT & NODES



Field 2

YIELD



Field 2



Seeding Rate (ksds/ha)

SUMMARY

Seeding rate had an influence on plant growth in both fields. The lowest seeding rate resulted in less vegetative growth in both zone 1 and 2.

In field 2, zone 1 had lower emergence, shorter plants, and yielded more than zone 2.

There is a potential to reduce seeding rate from grower nominal without any yield impact in both fields.

Future Research:

Evaluate the use of variable-rate seeding and variable-rate PGR applications by management zones for cotton production in the Southeastern US. Thanks!

Simer Virk Extension Precision Ag Specialist Email: <u>svirk@uga.edu</u> Twitter: @PrecAgEngineer Website: <u>www.precisionag.caes.uga.edu</u>

